UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,243	08/27/2001	James R. Baker JR.	UM-06609	6118
23535 7590 07/10/2007 . MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP		EXAMINER		
101 HOWARI			BARHAM, BETHANY P	
SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/940,243	BAKER, JAMES R.			
omee reason cumury	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this commu	Bethany P. Barham	1615 et with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	inication appears on the cover shee	st with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this cor - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, momunication. Statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by will, by statute, cause the application to becord after the mailing date of this communication, expenses.	UNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>30 April 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the prac	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 26-46 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 26-46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	are withdrawn from consideration				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specific process.	e: a) accepted or b) objected jection to the drawing(s) be held in ab	·			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attack	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) Ali b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies	ty documents have been received by documents have been received s of the priority documents have b tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	in Application No een received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•	•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(PTO-948) Paper 3) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the Applicants' Response and Amended Claims and Information Disclosure Statement filed on 4/30/2007. Claims 26-46 are pending in this action. Claims 26-46 are rejected.

Applicants Arguments with respect to the art not teaching a reason for "changing the functional group from a reactive and highly positively charged amine terminated dendrimer to a neutral acetyl terminated dendrimer" was persuasive and the previous rejections of record are herein modified to provide art that does teach a reason.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,243

Art Unit: 1615

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 26-27, 29-31, 33-35, and 38-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 8-10, 22-23, and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,471,968 (herein referred to as '968) in view of Tomalia et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 29 (1990) p.138-175 (herein referred to as Tomalia et al), as further evidenced by Zhou et al, J. of Controlled Release (1999). Although claims 26, 39-40 and 45 are not identical to a single claim in '968, it is not patentably distinct from claims 1, 2 and 27 of '968. Both claim a composition comprising a dendrimer POPAM or PAMAM and that one dendrimer is covalently linked to another dendrimer with a functional group of a therapeutic agent. Both claim a composition with one or more functional groups selected from the group consisting of a therapeutic agent, a targeting agent, an imaging agent, or a biological monitoring agent. Both claim a therapeutic agent comprising a chemotherapeutic agent. Both claim a protecting group selected from photo-labile, radio-labile and enzyme-labile protecting Both claim a composition with a chemotherapeutic agent selected from selected from platinum complex, verapamil, podophyllotoxin, carboplatin, procarbazine, mechlorethamine, cyclophosphamide, camptothecin, ifosfamide, melphalan. chlorambucil, bisulfan, nitrosurea, adriamycin, dactinomycin, daunorubicin, doxorubicin, bleomycin, plicomycin, mitomycin, etoposide, tamoxifen, taxol, transplatinum, 5fluorouracil, vincristin, vinblastin, and methotrexate. Both claim a nucleic acid attached to the dendrimer with a cleavage site comprising an enzyme.

Art Unit: 1615

Patent '968 does not claim an acetylated G5 dendrimer as claimed by Applicant. But '968 in view of Tomalia et al as evidenced by) and Zhou et al, J. of Controlled Release (1999), renders the instant claims further obvious because Tomalia et al teach that it is common to introduce reactive and passive chemical moieties on the surface of the dendrimer to change the functional groups either inside of on the dendrimer surface (p. 163, col. 1, last paragraph). Tomalia et al teach ester-terminated PAMAM (G0-G10), hydroxylated terminated PAMAM (G0-G9), ketone terminated PAMAM (-NHCOR for G0-G6), and many more (p. 163-167, also see Table 8 on p. 165). They teach that the different functional groups change the surfaces from hydrophilic to hydrophobic, anionic to cationic, etc. Changing the functional group from a reactive and highly positively charged amine terminated dendrimer to a neutral acetyl terminated dendrimer would be an obvious choice by one skilled in the art if one did not want the dendrimer reacting with surrounding negatively charged compounds and to become water soluble for drug/therapeutic delivery as evidenced by Zhou et al who specifically teaches acylation of dendrimers with acetic anhydride, which allows the dendrimer to become water soluble and linked to an active agent, with potential for a carrier as an antitumor drug (pg. 254-255, Conclusion).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1615

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomalia et al., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 29 (1990) p.138-175 (herein referred to as Tomalia et al) and Zhou et al, J. of Controlled Release (1999), in view of Malik et al., Proceed. Int'l. Symp. Control. Rel. Bioact. Mater., 24 (1997) p. 107-108.

- to PAMAM dendrimers of various generations. Tomalia et al also teaches various NH2-terminated dendrimers reacted with either inorganic or organic acids and PAMAM dendrimer complexes formed from reactions with metals (p. 163-4, section 9.2.1-9.2.2). Tomalia et al teach conjugation of dendrimers to dopamine and catechol to act as targeting agents to increase ligand concentrations and conjugations to monoclonal antibodies for therapeutic and diagnostic purposes (p. 166-167, sections 9.2.5-9.2.6).
- Tomalia et al does not teach acylation of dendrimers, only functionalization.

Art Unit: 1615.

• Zhou et al teaches that functionalizing dendrimers with various end groups that can be linked to other chemical moieties and enhance surface properties of dendrimers for drug carriers and gene transfer agents is well known in the art.
Zhou et al specifically teaches acylation of dendrimers with acetic anhydride, which allows the dendrimer to become water soluble and linked to an active agent, with potential for a carrier as an antitumor drug (pg. 254-255, Conclusion).

- Tomalia et al and Zhou et al do not teach chemotherapeutic agents such as the platinum complex, cisplatin.
- The limitation of claim 32 is taught by Malik et al, who teaches that PAMAM dendrimers conjugated to the anti-tumor agent and platinum complex, cisplatin to form a dendrimer-Pt complex. The dendrimer-Pt complex was found to be effective in reducing toxicity and increasing water solubility of cisplatin, while still maintaining anti-tumor activity.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the chemotherapeutic agent, cisplatin into a PAMAM dendrimer with the functional groups as described by Tomalia et al and Zhou et al, since Tomalia teaches dendrimer metal complexes and Zhou et al teaches complexes with active agents (fluorouracil and antitumors). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated by the success of the results of Malik et al who found that the complexed dendrimer-Pt reduces toxicity and increases solubility of cisplatin to combine with the teachings of Tomalia et al. Thus, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to combine

Application/Control Number: 09/940,243

Art Unit: 1615

the teaches of Malik et al with Tomalia et al and Zhou et al to obtain a drug containing dendrimer with the functional group of choice.

Claims 26-27 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomalia et al., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 29 (1990) p.138-175 (herein referred to as Tomalia et al) and Zhou et al, J. of Controlled Release (1999), in view of US 5,714,

166 (herein referred to as '166).

- Tomalia et al is taught above, but does not teach fluorescent agents, specifically fluorescein isothiocyanate.
- Zhou et al is taught above, and teaches fluorescent agents such as fluorescein but not fluorescein isothiocyanate.
- The limitations of claims 36-37 are taught in '166. The conjugation of one or more functional groups (targeting and imaging agents) into dendrimers is taught. Specifically, example NN (col. 71 lines 40-42 and col. 72 lines 47-65) and example 29 (col. 91 line 9 col. 92 line 14) teach preparation of PAMAM dendrimers conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate for imaging and various targeting agents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to functionalize the surface of PAMAM dendrimers of various generations (G0-G9) to look to Tomalia et al. Tomalia et al teaches adding functional groups to the surface to change the surface charge. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to obtain a neutral surface that would be less reactive with

biological compounds to look for a functional group that would impart the neutral charge and increase water solubility, such as the acetyl group as taught by Zhou et al. It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that since PAMAM dendrimers are non-toxic and useful for specific delivery of imaging and targeting agents, and Zhou et al teaches that acylation and linkage to fluorescein is also non-toxic and useful for imaging, to look to the teachings '166 (the conjugation of PAMAM dendrimers to targeting and imaging agents, specifically fluorescein isothiocyanate) in conjunction with Tomalia et al and Zhou et al to obtain an acetylated PAMAM dendrimer for use in targeting and imaging in vitro.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bethany P. Barham whose telephone number is 571-272-6175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 5pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,243

Art Unit: 1615

Page 9

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bethany Barham Examiner-1615

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600